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Executive Summary

On April 14, 2017, the Shadow Brokers Group released the FUZZBUNCH framework, an exploitation toolkit for Microsoft® Windows®. The toolkit was allegedly written by the Equation Group, a highly sophisticated threat actor suspected of being tied to the United States National Security Agency (NSA).

The framework included EternalBlue, a remote kernel exploit originally targeting the Server Message Block (SMB) service on Microsoft Windows XP (Server 2003) and Microsoft Windows 7 (Server 2008 R2).

In this paper, the RiskSense Cyber Security Research team analyzes how using wrong-sized CPU registers leads to a seemingly innocuous mathematical miscalculation. This causes a chain reaction domino effect ultimately culminating in code execution, making EternalBlue one of the most complex exploits ever written.

We will discuss what was necessary to port the exploit to Microsoft Windows 10, and future mitigations Microsoft has already deployed, which can prevent vulnerabilities of this class from being exploited in the future. The FUZZBUNCH version of the exploit contains an Address Space Layout Randomization (ASLR) bypass, and the Microsoft Windows 10 version required an additional Data Execution Prevention (DEP) bypass not needed in the original exploit.

Finally, we will demonstrate how we stripped the exploit down to its essential parts to defeat detection rules previously recommended by numerous governments and antivirus vendors. This includes the addition of a stealthier payload which, unlike the original, does not use the DOUBLEPULSAR implant.

Please note that this deep technical overview of the exploit process is provided to white hat information security researchers so that new generic and targeted techniques can be developed to prevent attacks. Overly meticulous details of the exploit process, such as math and alignment issues that would only be useful to attackers, have been omitted. Due to the sensitive nature of the exploit and other time constraints, the source code will not be made available until a later time.
Background

The Shadow Brokers

On August 13, 2016, a mysterious Twitter account for the Shadow Brokers hacking entity appeared, tweeting a PasteBin link to numerous news organizations. The link described the process for an auction to unlock an encrypted file that claimed to contain hacking tools belonging to the Equation Group.

Dubbed in 2015 by Kaspersky Lab, Equation Group are sophisticated exploit and malware authors believed to be part of the Office of Tailored Access Operations (TAO), a cyber warfare intelligence-gathering unit of the United States National Security Agency (NSA). As a show of good faith by the Shadow Brokers, a second encrypted file and corresponding password were released, with tools containing numerous exploits and even zero-day vulnerabilities. Among the exploits made available was EXTRABACON, a remote code execution for Cisco ASA firewalls, which the RiskSense Cyber Security Research team previously improved upon.

On April 14, 2017, the Shadow Brokers issued a message titled “Lost in Translation,” which released the FUZZBUNCH framework, an exploitation tool similar to the open-source Metasploit project. The framework included a treasure trove of weaponized Microsoft Windows exploits and other malware. Among the exploits leaked was the EternalBlue exploit, which is a remote Microsoft Windows kernel exploit that targets the Server Message Block (SMB) protocol.

Microsoft Windows MS17-010 Patch

One month prior to the Shadow Brokers leak of Microsoft Windows exploits, Microsoft rolled out a patch with the TechNet security bulletin MS17-010. The MS17-010 patch fixed the following vulnerabilities:

It is unclear which CVE is the vulnerability which EternalBlue targets. However, Microsoft has stated CVE-2017-0146 and CVE-2017-0147 are part of the ETERNALCHAMPION exploit.

These vulnerabilities can be remediated through methods other than applying the patch, such as disabling the SMBv1 protocol, isolating vulnerable machines, not exposing SMB to the Internet, and the use of an inline intrusion detection system (IDS).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Common Vulnerability Enumeration</th>
<th>Description</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>CVE-2017-0143</td>
<td>Windows SMB Remote Code Execution Vulnerability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVE-2017-0144</td>
<td>Windows SMB Remote Code Execution Vulnerability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVE-2017-0145</td>
<td>Windows SMB Remote Code Execution Vulnerability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVE-2017-0146</td>
<td>Windows SMB Remote Code Execution Vulnerability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVE-2017-0147</td>
<td>Windows SMB Information Disclosure Vulnerability</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CVE-2017-0148</td>
<td>Windows SMB Remote Code Execution Vulnerability</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Weaponized FUZZBUNCH Exploit:

The EternalBlue exploit in FUZZBUNCH is referred in many sources as the weaponized version of the exploit. It is weaponized in that it appears to be the development of a nation state (e.g., it is a cyber weapon).

1 https://twitter.com/shadowbrokers
3 https://zerosum0x0.blogspot.com/2016/09/reverse-engineering-cisco-asa-for.html
4 https://steemit.com/shadowbrokers/@theshadowbrokers/lost-in-translation
5 https://www.metasploit.com
There are several exceptional characteristics, which make EternalBlue a highly-advanced cyber weapon:

- It targets the Microsoft Windows operating system, which does not have publicly available source code
- It exploits the kernel, which is prone to crashes, making research and development a slow process
- It is a remote exploit, meaning no local offset calculations can be performed
- It sends malicious traffic via SMB, an esoteric and poorly documented network protocol
- It simultaneously exploits both x86 and x64 CPU architectures
- It performs pool grooming, a type of heap spray of kernel memory structures
- It contains a bypass for Data Execution Prevention (DEP)
- It contains a bypass for Address Space Layout Randomization (ASLR)

Every exploit contains at least one trick, some stratagem or artifice to deliver its payload. The FUZZBUNCH EternalBlue exploit contains several of such tricks. The exploit started with modest potential, at one point allegedly nicknamed EternalBlueSCREEN. However, over the years it was clearly improved, and now that it is in the hands of attackers and the open-source white hat community it has been further refined.

![Figure 1: The original FUZZBUNCH version of the EternalBlue exploit](https://www.washingtonpost.com/business/technology/nsa-officials-worried-about-the-day-its-potent-hacking-tool-would-get-loose-then-it-did/2017/05/16/50670b16-3978-11e7-a058-ddbb23c75d82_story.html?utm_term=bdf53ff72cd6)
Metasploit Module

The Metasploit exploit module\(^9\) was written by the RiskSense Cyber Security Research team and completed on May 14, 2017. The timing was unfortunate in that the culmination of research ended two days after the WannaCry attacks. As such, there were false reports that the ransom worm “lifted” code from the Metasploit module. Instead, WannaCry used a packet capture of the FUZZBUNCH exploit that was recorded for research purposes.

The exploit module currently only targets Microsoft Windows 7 and Microsoft Server 2008 R2, which are the highest versions that the FUZZBUNCH exploit release can target. Plans to add offsets for newer versions of Microsoft Windows, such as Microsoft Windows 10 and Microsoft Server 2012, have been discussed within the community. It was decided that Metasploit would accept offsets for these versions as soon as they can be made available.

The Microsoft Windows 10 proof-of-concept analyzed in this document is not yet part of the Metasploit module. RiskSense has no immediate plans to publish code for exploits outside of the scope of the original exploits.

Bypass of IDS Rules

The Metasploit module strips the exploit down to its essential, barebone components. By performing this task, RiskSense demonstrated that numerous intrusion detection system (IDS) patterns recommended by government agencies and antivirus vendors were inadequate against potential future attacks. More robust rules could be created against the stripped-down exploit.

```plaintext
alert tcp $HOME_NET 445 -> any any (msg:"ET EXPLOIT Possible EternalBlue MS17-010 Echo Response"; flow:from_server,established; content:"|00 00 00 31 ff|SMB|2b 00 00 00 00 98 07 c0|"; depth:16; fast_pattern; content:"|4a 6c 4a 6d 49 68 43 6c 42 73 72 00|"; distance:0; flowbits:isset,ETPRO.EternalBlue; classtype:trojan-activity; sid:2024218; rev:2;)
```

\(^9\) https://www.rapid7.com/db/modules/exploit/windows/smb/ms17_010_EternalBlue
\(^10\) https://isc.sans.edu/forums/diary/Massive+wave+of+ransomware+ongoing/22412/
Removal of DOUBLEPULSAR
The Metasploit module also differs from the FUZZBUNCH exploit in that the primary payload is custom-crafted ring 0 kernel shellcode. The new payload directly stages Metasploit’s collection of user-mode payloads; it does not use the DOUBLEPULSAR implant at all.

RiskSense was the first organization to publish a detailed technical analysis of the DOUBLEPULSAR payload.\(^{11,12,13}\) While DOUBLEPULSAR is an ingenious payload, it has insecure cryptographic practices relying on steganography that is now widely known, and thus is not a suitable solution for a penetration testing tool such as Metasploit.

Vulnerability

**Early MS17-010 Research**
RiskSense Cyber Security Research analysts reviewed the MS17-010 patch shortly after its release, one month before the Shadow Brokers FUZZBUNCH leaks, as it is a rare circumstance for multiple remote code execution vulnerabilities to be patched at once. Reverse engineering determined that code paths for SMB traffic had been changed, resulting in error messages for certain invalid operations being changed.

Essentially, the patch inadvertently added an information disclosure that allows a remote, uncredentialled attacker to determine if the patch has been installed.

One example of a new code path can be observed by connecting to the Inter-Process Communications (IPC$) tree and attempting an SMB NT Trans2 transaction on FID 0. Prior to the patch, machines will return the STATUS_INSUFF_SERVER_RESOURCES error code. On a patched machine, additional authentication checks were added, meaning STATUS_INVALID_HANDLE or STATUS_ACCESS_DENIED will be given, depending on the version of Microsoft Windows being tested.

Due to the determined critical nature of the patch, RiskSense decided to release a free scanner for system administrators to assess their networks via the Metasploit project on March 29, 2017\(^ {14}\), sixteen days before the Shadow Brokers leak on April 14, 2017. This auxiliary scanner module, after the WannaCry attacks, became an extremely popular tool for defenders to use and has since been ported to Python\(^ {15}\) and NMAP.\(^ {16}\)

**Memory Buffer Miscalculation**
The vulnerability that EternalBlue exploits is quite subtle. One could easily miss it if simply running a binary diffing tool against a patched and unpatched Srv.sys driver. Srv.sys is where large portions of the SMB protocol lives, as Microsoft has opted to do many networking tasks in the kernel, perhaps for additional performance reasons (see also: HTTP.sys).

On most versions of Microsoft Windows, there is a function named `srv!SrvOS2FeaListSizeToNt`, which is used to calculate the size needed for a converting OS/2 Full Extended Attributes (FEA) List structures into the appropriate NT FEA structures. These structures are used to describe file characteristics. This calculation function is not present in Microsoft Windows 10, as it has been in-lined by the compiler. The vulnerability thus appears in `srv!SrvOs2FeaListToNt`.

\(^1\) [https://zerosum0x0.blogspot.com/2017/04/doublepulsar-initial-smb-backdoor-ring.html](https://zerosum0x0.blogspot.com/2017/04/doublepulsar-initial-smb-backdoor-ring.html)


\(^4\) [https://www.rapid7.com/db/modules/auxiliary/scanner/smb/smb_ms17_010](https://www.rapid7.com/db/modules/auxiliary/scanner/smb/smb_ms17_010)

\(^5\) [https://github.com/nixawk/labs/blob/master/MS17_010/smb_exploit.py](https://github.com/nixawk/labs/blob/master/MS17_010/smb_exploit.py)

\(^6\) [https://nmap.org/nsedoc/scripts/smb-vuln-ms17-010.html](https://nmap.org/nsedoc/scripts/smb-vuln-ms17-010.html)
Essentially, an attacker-controlled DWORD value is subtracted here, however you will notice WORD-sized registers are used in the calculation. This buffer size is later used in a `memcpy`\(^\text{17}\) or `memmove`\(^\text{18}\) operation, depending on the Microsoft Windows version, both of which perform a copy of a memory from one location to another.

This mathematical miscalculation is easy to overlook, however such a small error leads to disastrously unintended consequences. The vulnerability is best classified as CWE-680: Integer Overflow to Buffer Overflow.\(^\text{19}\)

Matthieu Suiche has suggested the following macro, available in cifs.h header of Windows Drivers SDKs\(^\text{20}\), may have been used:

```c
#define SmbPutUshort(SrcAddress, Value) \ *
(SPMB_USHORT)(SrcAddress) = (Value)
```

The vulnerability itself could potentially have been found through either static code analysis, to identify the mathematical error, or through fuzzing the SMB protocol and getting a lucky Blue Screen of Death. However, turning a crash into a reliable exploit requires in-depth knowledge for many of Microsoft Windows undocumented kernel structures, implementation details, and the SMB protocol.

The vulnerable code snippet is still present in versions of the MS17-010 patch. There is a secondary mitigation that disallows SMBv1 traffic from travelling the code path, effectively fixing successful exploitation. This was done by adding additional checks in `srv!ExecuteTransaction`.\(^\text{21}\)

**Origins**

The vulnerability itself appears to have been around for quite some time. RiskSense observed that the vulnerability is present in a base install of Windows 2000 without any service packs installed.

The Microsoft NT 4 source code was at one point leaked, and it is claimed the vulnerability is not present.\(^\text{22}\) RiskSense did not look at the NT 4 source, but it is possible the vulnerability was introduced in a service pack for NT 4.

As the earliest FUZZBUNCH exploit targets Microsoft Windows XP (Server 2003), it can be argued this vulnerability was around for a number of years before being discovered.

---


\(^\text{19}\) [https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/680.html](https://cwe.mitre.org/data/definitions/680.html)

\(^\text{20}\) [https://github.com/tpn/winsdk-10/blob/master/Include/10.0.10240.0/km/cifs.h](https://github.com/tpn/winsdk-10/blob/master/Include/10.0.10240.0/km/cifs.h)

Exploit

Target Version of Microsoft Windows 10

For this exploit analysis and port, we target Microsoft Windows 10 x64 Version 1511, the November Update with the codename Threshold 2. The build number is Microsoft Windows 10.0.10586. The MS17-010 patch, while available, is not installed.

This version is the currently supported Current Branch for Business (CBB) version of Microsoft Windows 10. Our exploit uses information about offsets and structures originally reversed for Microsoft Server 2012 by Worawit Wang\(^\text{22}\), to whom a tremendous debt of gratitude is owed.

This build of Microsoft Windows has firewall rules that prevent the SMB port from being open by default. However, with default settings for both enterprise domain and private home networks, the firewall allows the port to be accessed. The IPC$ share also disallows anonymous logsins. We do not consider these features to be significant exploit mitigations.

For our analysis, we will utilize the WinDbg Kernel Mode Debugger, an official tool from Microsoft Corporation which contains symbols for some, but not all, of the kernel data structures being examined.

Exploit Mitigations

Unfortunately, there are no working mitigations for Microsoft Windows Server 2003 (XP), Server 2008 (Vista/7), or Server 2012 (8/8.1). While certain versions do have mitigations enabled, the mitigations in place have straightforward workarounds.

Microsoft Windows 10, however, receives exploit mitigations that previous versions of Microsoft Windows simply do not get. The last exploitable version with known workarounds is Threshold 2, which is still supported in the Current Branch for Business (CBB). If the machine has the Redstone 1 update, which was publicly available in August 2016, randomization added to page table entries prevents the DEP bypass.\(^\text{23}\) If the machine has the Redstone 2 update, introduced in April 2017 (after the MS17-010 patch), the HAL heap is also randomized, defeating the ASLR bypass.

Microsoft Server 2016’s first release includes Redstone 1, meaning a path to successful exploitation is not currently known. However, it is still simple to cause denial-of-service, and future DEP / ASLR bypasses may still be discovered.

Data Execution Prevention (DEP)

Data Execution Prevention is an exploit mitigation designed so that even if an arbitrary memory-write primitive is obtained, hijacking execution to the write location will not result in execution. There is a No eXecute (NX) bit in the page table entry that defines a memory location cannot be executed. Attempting to do so will result in a CPU exception, which will be unhandled in kernel mode resulting in a system crash.

Address Space Layout Randomization (ASLR)

A traditional avenue for DEP bypass is code re-use attacks. A technique known as Return-Oriented Programming (ROP) was developed, in which execution flow is set by overflowing many return addresses containing small code snippets, or “gadgets”. There is a mitigation, which generally defeats ROP attacks called Address Space Layout Randomization (ASLR). ASLR means that memory addresses are no longer static offsets that can be pre-determined.

Network Traffic Analysis

When FUZZBUNCH was first released, simple packet analysis of the exploit’s network traffic was performed. The phenomenon of successful exploitation by replaying a recording of the exploit was observed. This means that every offset of the exploit can be pre-calculated; there is no secondary memory leak information disclosure being used to dynamically calculate exploit requirements.

That stated, porting the exploit to a new version of Microsoft Windows (or writing the original exploit) is a tremendous task, which requires precise setup. Structure offsets must be properly reverse engineered for essential functionality, as in many cases they must be set to appropriate values or face rejection to runtime checks (causing Blue Screen of Death). The integer overflow vulnerability must be calculated exactly, as other values rely on it and must be fixed up throughout the course of the exploit. There can be no ambiguity and many kernel objects change drastically between the major versions of Microsoft Windows.

\(^{22}\) https://www.exploit-db.com/exploits/42030/

\(^{23}\) http://www.alex-ionescu.com/?p=323
There are two main drivers in play which work in synergy with each other, being Srv.sys and Srvnet.sys. The vulnerable miscalculation and buffer overwrite will be performed because of actions in Srv.sys. The code execution hijack will occur later in processing done by Srvnet.sys. A large non-paged pool, with custom Srvnet.sys headers instead of pool headers, is where the memory corruption will happen.

The exploit opens several bare minimum connections, added by a variable NumGrooms amount. Grooms are used to perform a type of heap spray attack of kernel pool memory, so that memory lines up correctly and overflow is controlled to a correct location. SMB drivers use large non-paged memory with its own structures for memory management of packets [24]. By adjusting the amount of grooms against a highly-fragmented pool, it is more likely to enter a known state and end up with a successful overwrite of desired structures.

The connections used in the exploit are one of four basic types: an Overflow Socket, the Allocation Connection, the Free Hole Connection, and Groom Packets.

**Overflow Socket**

This is the primary connection in the exploit, and the size of the malicious OS/2 Full Extended Attributes (FEA) List is essentially present as an attacker-controlled value. This socket connects to the IPC$ tree and begins an NT Trans request of a large FEA List. This large FEA List is sent through as many NT Trans2 secondary requests that are required, depending on size. These packets can be filled with gibberish, until the last NT Trans2 packet which contains data that will overwrite the headers of a Groom Packet connection.

The final packet is deferred until all pool grooming is completed, as it exists in a different pool until the transaction is complete. The final request should return the status code error STATUS_INVALID_PARAMETER if everything goes well. This means the vulnerable code path was successfully travelled.

**Groom Packets**

Groom packets are several connections that are opened by a variable amount set by the attacker. The purpose of grooming is to achieve contiguous kernel pool memory so that buffer overwrite ends up in the desired location—as in the headers of one of these groom packets’ internal driver implementation structs. Exploit failures, where an overwrite occurs in a location that is not a groom packet internal struct, do not generally result in a crash, but occurs fairly regularly when grooming is unable to properly achieve contiguous memory. This is usually observed when the pool is highly fragmented, especially after multiple exploitation attempts.

The NumGrooms amount is used after an allocation connection is opened, and six additional grooms are sent after a hole connection is opened and the allocation connection is closed. Groom packets also have the job of holding the exploit payload, which is sent after the overflow condition packet has been received and acknowledged by the server.

Groom packets in the original exploit appear to be SMB2 packets, but are otherwise completely invalid and perhaps only have the SMB2 header to defeat detection rules. The SMB2 header “magic” value can in practice be written with anything.

**Allocation Connection**

The allocation connection is simply used to create a large allocation on the server, to reserve a buffer of that is significantly smaller than the overwrite packet, so that when it is freed the Overflow Socket does not end up in its place. This connection is used to fill a slot that will have trailing pool headers, which if overwritten would be hard to forge and likely result in a crash. The allocation must be smaller than the final Overflow Socket FEA List, so that it will go into the Free Hole Connection and not occupy this memory. The allocation connection is opened directly before the NumGrooms amount of groom packet headers are sent. The hole connection is then opened, and the allocation connection is closed.

**Free Hole Connection**

After the NumGrooms amount of groom packet headers are sent, the hole connection is opened. This buffer is virtually the same size as the expected size of the overflowing buffer, with minor adjustment to make things line up. At the last second, this Free Hole will be closed so that it can be quickly replaced with the overflowing buffer, who believes there is enough space to use here, but miscalculates how much data to copy. It is expected that a non-paged pool allocation with pool headers will not be adjacent to the hole connection because of the previous allocation connection. The headers for this will be overwritten when the last fragment of the overflow socket is sent.

---

24 [http://blog.trendmicro.com/trendlabs-security-intelligence/ms17-010-EternalBlue/]
FEA and Kernel Structures

Several kernel structures are overwritten or otherwise used during the exploit. Many of these structures are undocumented, and must be reverse engineered. This process is performed by looking at function calls that are used during normal execution in the attempt to determine what types exist at certain offsets.

SMB_FEA

A Full Extended Attribute is generally used to describe the characteristics of a file. One of SMBs primary functions is to serve as a file share, and the dated SMBv1 protocol has support for many opcodes. According to MSDN: “The SMB_FEA data structure is used in Transaction2 subcommands and in the NT_TRANSACT_CREATE subcommand to encode an extended attribute (EA) name/value pair.”

```
typedef struct _SMB_FEA
{
    UCHAR ExtendedAttributeFlag;
    UCHAR AttributeNameLengthInBytes;
    USHORT AttributeValueLengthInBytes;
    UCHAR AttributeName[AttributeNameLengthInBytes + 1];
    UCHAR AttributeValue[AttributeValueLengthInBytes];
} SMB_FEA, *PSMB_FEA;
```

SMB_FEA_LIST

A FEA List is simply many contiguous SMB_FEA. This is another documented structure on MSDN. A malicious SMB_FEA_LIST is the structure that is sent in the Overflow Socket, which is miscalculated while being converted into an internal NT FEA List structure.

```
Typedef struct _SMB_FEA_LIST
{
    ULONG SizeOfListInBytes;
    UCHAR FEAList[];
} SMB_FEA_LIST, *PSMB_FEA_LIST;
```

SRVNET_BUFFER_HDR

This is the actual structure that will be overwritten during the out of bound memory copy caused by the original vulnerability miscalculation. This contains buffer metadata that is appended to the real buffer of an SMB packet allocation.

One of the most important aspects of this structure is the Memory Descriptor List PMDL (offset 0x38), which allows placing the fake PSRVNET_RECV struct pointed to by pSrvNetWskStruct (offset 0x58) into a desired memory location.

MDL

Memory Descriptor Lists are a kernel structure that describe a memory buffer for certain types of memory I/O operations. Controlling the MDL in packet metadata essentially causes the TCP stack to perform an arbitrary write-what-where, a common primitive used in kernel exploitation, when data is sent to the connection. This structure is exported by NTDLL, and thus we can query the debugger for its type.

```c
typedef struct SRVNET_BUFFER_HDR {
    LIST_ENTRY List;
    USHORT Flag; // clear least 2 significant bits
    BYTE unknown0[0x6];
    PBYTE pNetRawBuffer; // 0x18 points to valid start of buffer
    DWORD dwNetRawBufferSize; // 0x20
    DWORD dwIoStatusInfo;
    DWORD dwNonPagedPoolSize;
    DWORD dwPadding;
    PVOID pNonPagedPoolAddr; // 0x30 points to SRVNET_BUFFER
    PMDL pMDL; // 0x38 point to offset 0x90 of this struct
    DWORD dwByteProcessed; // 0x40
    BYTE unknown1[4];
    QWORD qwSBMMsgSize; // Set to size of all RECV data
    PMDL pMDL2; // 0x50 optionally fixed to free buffer
    PSRVNET_RECV pSrvNetWskStruct; // 0x58 fake SRVNET_RECV struct address
    DWORD unknown2;
    char unknown3[0xc];
    char unknown4[0x20];
    // original struct ends

    MDL WriteWhatWherePrimitive; // 0x90
} SRVNET_BUFFER_HDR, *PSRVNET_BUFFER_HDR;
```

Figure 5: The debugger contains symbols for the MDL structure
We essentially need to set this up in the overwritten packet with the bytes that would equal the same pseudocode seen below:

```c
SIZE_T nSentBytes = 0x7f;
PVOID pTargetLoc = 0xffff...;

MDL mdl = { 0 };
mdl.Next = NULL;  // the list entry should not point anywhere
mdl.Size = 0x60;
mdl.MdlFlags = MDL_NETWORK_HEADER | MDL_SOURCE_IS_NONPAGED_POOL;  // 0x1004
mdl.Process = NULL;
mdl.MappedSystemVa = pTargetLoc - nSentBytes;
```

**SRVNET_RECV**
This is the structure that is written with the write-what-where primitive. Once the corrupted connection is closed, this is used by Srvnet.sys to call the handler function, which points to the shellcode address.

```c
typedef struct _SRVNET_RECV {
    BYTE unknown0[0x50];
    PKSPIN_LOCK SpinLock;  // 0x50 lock is acquired during processing
    LIST_ENTRY List;       // 0x58 Flink and Blink point to self
    BYTE unknown1[0xa0];
    PVOID **pHandlers;     // 0x110 pointer to handler table
    QWORD qwUnknown2;
    QWORD qwOverwriteSize; // 0x118 set to pre-calculated overwrite amount
    QWORD qwUnknown3
    DWORD dwUnknown4;
    DWORD dwInvokeIndex;   // 0x13c set to 3
    BYTE unknown5[0xb0];

    // original struct ends

    QWORD qwFuncArgument;
    PVOID HandlerFunction;  // set to &shellcode
} SRVNET_RECV, *PSRVNET_RECV;
```
SMB Exploitation Sequence

Memory Descriptor Lists are a kernel structure that describes how memory is properly groomed. The four types of connections must be sent in the proper order, so that pool memory is properly groomed. Performing these actions out of sequence can lead to overwrite occurring in the improper location, which later could lead to an unhandled kernel exception, meaning the system will crash.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Socket</th>
<th>Type</th>
<th>Action</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Overflow</td>
<td>SMBv1 negotiation, Anonymous login, and IPC$ tree connect</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Overflow</td>
<td>Initial NT Trans request, followed by multiple Trans2 requests (FEA List)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Allocation</td>
<td>Create a buffer which may have trailing pool headers we do not want to overwrite</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+</td>
<td>NumGrooms</td>
<td>Empty packet headers, just to open connections, these should line up next to each other</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Hole</td>
<td>Create a buffer of similar size to Overflow data, so it can be switched out at appropriate time</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Allocation</td>
<td>Disconnect to free buffer</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+</td>
<td>Final Grooms</td>
<td>Create six new connections, sending same headers as previous NumGrooms</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Hole</td>
<td>Disconnect to free buffer, Overflow will begin copy starting here</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Overflow</td>
<td>Send the last fragment of the exploit packet, causing overwrite of a Groom SRVNET_BUFFER_HDR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4+</td>
<td>All Grooms</td>
<td>Any data now transmitted will be written by TCP stack to the address in the fake MDL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1+</td>
<td>All</td>
<td>Close all sockets, resulting in SRVNET_RECV executing shellcode</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Execution Chain of Events

The following is a high-level chain of events that allow the buffer overflow to achieve reliable code execution:

1. It targets the Microsoft Windows operating system, which does not have publicly available source code
2. It exploits the kernel, which is prone to crashes, making research and development a slow process
3. It is a remote exploit, meaning no local offset calculations can be performed
4. It sends malicious traffic via SMB, an esoteric and poorly documented network protocol
5. It simultaneously exploits both x86 and x64 CPU architectures
6. It performs pool grooming, a type of heap spray of kernel memory structures
7. It contains a bypass for Data Execution Prevention (DEP)

Portions of the basic sequence are repeated, once for the DEP bypass MDL, and again for the SRVNT_RECV MDL, which causes code execution. These can be triggered in sync in all testing and there does not appear to be a race condition with the write orders.

ASLR Bypass

ASLR bypasses generally consist of locating components which are still forced to rely on fixed offsets. There exists a region of memory in the kernel called the HAL Heap, which is used by the Hardware Abstraction Layer. Until Microsoft Windows 10 Redstone 2 (April 2017), which randomizes the HAL Heap location\(^\text{27}\), this region can be located at 0xffffffff`ffd00000. On Microsoft Server 2008 R2, the latest version exploited by FUZZBUNCH, the HAL Heap has both write and execute permissions. For this reason, a DEP bypass was not necessary for the original exploit, as it was already "built-in" to the ASLR bypass.

The region is known to store important structures such as the HalpInterruptController, which is a table of function pointers that perform critical operations, so exploits should be careful in choosing where to perform the arbitrary write.

\(^{27}\) https://labs.bluefrostsecurity.de/blog/2017/05/11/windows-10-hals-heap-extinction-of-the-halpinterruptcontroller-table-exploitation-technique/
DEP Bypass
Starting sometime in Microsoft Windows 8/8.1 (Server 2012), the HAL Heap became non-executable. A virtual memory Page Table Entry (PTE) contains information about a memory location, such as base physical addresses, CPU ring mode, a dirty bit, and starting with the introduction of hardware-enforced DEP, a No eXecute (NX) bit at offset 63. If the NX bit is set and we attempt to move the instruction pointer to the page, a kernel panic will prevent the exploitation.

The remote bypass for DEP used is a technique which can be used to have the MDL write a 0 into the NX bit. PTEs until Microsoft Windows 10 Redstone 1 (August 2016), like HAL Heap, are in a fixed, static location, and can be pre-calculated. Disabling the bit will mark the page as executable.

---

28 https://drive.google.com/file/d/0B3P18M-shbwrNWZTa181ZWRCclk/edit
We can confirm that the overwrite is successful, as the debugger afterwards informs us that the memory is marked as executable.

![Figure 8: After the first MDL write, the shellcode address is safely executable](image)

**Hijacking Code Execution**

After the DEP bypass is complete, we can overwrite the Groom buffer again with a new SRVNET_BUFFER_HDR containing an MDL that points to the location we just marked as executable. We send the fake SRVNET_RECV struct and shellcode which causes the TCP stack to use the MDL to write to the preset location in the HAL Heap.

![Figure 9: The TCP stack performs I/O on the overwritten struct, resulting in arbitrary write](image)
We can query the preset address we chose for the MDL to write the fake SRVNT_RECV structure and shellcode to. We observe that the shellcode and structure are present and the offsets are in the proper locations. With proper setup, the handler function pointing to the shellcode payload will be called when the socket is closed.

Figure 10: The SRVNET_RECV struct laid out in kernel memory after MDL write

Setting a breakpoint at the start of the payload shellcode (interrupt 3, or “\xcc”), one can see the call stack when code execution is transferred.

![Debugging output](image)

Figure 11: Successful exploitation and shellcode execution on Microsoft Windows 10 Threshold 2
Payload

Overview of Operation

RiskSense previously documented the DOUBLEPULSAR implant used in the original exploit. The problem with DOUBLEPULSAR is that it is not a cryptographically secure payload; it opens an insecure backdoor which anyone can come along and use to load secondary malware.

In our improved payload, an Asynchronous Procedure Call (APC) is queued directly to cause normal Metasploit user-mode payloads to be executed without requiring the backdoor. An APC can "borrow" a process thread that is in an idle Alertable state, and while it relies on structures whose offsets change between versions of Microsoft Windows, it is one of the most reliable and easiest ways to exit kernel mode and enter user mode. Kernel shellcode techniques were gleaned from the Uninformed journal and the DOUBLEPULSAR DLL injection payload.

The shellcode for Microsoft Windows 10 is similar to the code for Microsoft Windows 7 (Server 2008 R2), present in the EternalBlue Metasploit module. Many of the structures necessary have offsets which change between major versions, however WinDbg has symbols available for them so this is not a tedious task.

From a high level, here is the sequence of operations that the payload must take care of after the code execution hijack as occurred.

Hook the SYSCALL Handler

The first task the payload must perform is to hook a new system call handler, which will point to stage two. This is because we are executing at an undesired Interrupt Request Level (IRQL). At the current level, the dreaded DISPATCH_LEVEL, interrupts are disabled, and we cannot use nifty features such as paged memory, which we will need to copy the user-mode payload into. Thus, it is necessary to have the second stage of shellcode called in a process context so that more kernel functionality is available.

Emulate a SYSCALL

Emulating a system call is simple enough to do, and code can mostly be directly copied from ntKisSystemCall64. Essentially the GS segment register needs to be swapped from user to kernel, all registers need to be saved, and interrupts need to be resumed. Once this is done, the shellcode can call the third stage which will queue the APC. When the third stage completes, clear interrupts, restore registers, and jump the instruction pointer to the real system call address.

The original system call handler MSR should also be restored as soon as possible, to prevent a bug check from Kernel Patch Protection (PatchGuard).

```
mov ecx, 0xc0000082   ; IA32_LSTAR syscall MSR
rdmsr
movabs rbx, 0xffffffffdd00ff8  ; another HAL heap address
mov dword [rbx+0x4], edx   ; save old syscall handler
mov dword [rbx], eax
lea rax, [rel x64_syscall_handler] ; load relative address to 2nd stage
mov rdx, rax
shr rdx, 0x20
wrmsr     ; write hook
ret

x64_syscall_handler:   ; the syscall handler follows this stub
```

29 http://uninformed.org/?v=3&a=4&t=txt
Locate NTOSKRNL.exe Base Address

Now that interrupts are enabled in the third stage, the payload can finally use the necessary APIs to queue the APC. The ntoskrnl.exe Portable Executable (PE) headers must be found so that function export addresses can be located. These can be looked up with a hash function like user-mode shellcode often uses for Kernel32.dll.

```
; this stub loads ntoskrnl.exe into r15
x64_find_nt_idt:
  mov r15, qword [gs:0x38]    ; get IdtBase of KPCR
  mov r15, qword [r15 + 0x4]    ; get ISR address
  shr r15, 0xc     ; strip to page size
  shl r15, 0xc

_x64_find_nt_idt_walk_page:
  sub r15, 0x1000     ; walk along page size
  mov rsi, qword [r15]
  cmp si, 0x5a4d     ; 'MZ' header
  jne _x64_find_nt_idt_walk_page
```

Luckily there is a trick which can be used here to again bypass ASLR. Locate the Interrupt Descriptor Table (IDT) from the Kernel Processor Control Region (KPCR), and traverses backwards from the first Interrupt Service Routine (ISR) handler to find ntoskrnl.exe base address.

Dynamically Calculate ETHREAD ThreadListEntry

In order to support multiple service packs, the offset to ETHREAD.ThreadListEntry should be found dynamically. This can be done with the following steps:

- Call nt!GetCurrentProcess to get the PEPROCESS->ThreadListHead
- Call nt!GetCurrentThread to get the current thread
- Walk the current process thread list until the address is found within a defined delta offset

Find a Target SYSTEM Process

The next step is to loop over PIDs calling nt!PsLookupProcessByld and nt!PsGetProcessImageFileName until a desired process to inject into is found. Generally, this should be a SYSTEM process, such as lsass.exe, or, more safely, spoolsv.exe.

Searching PIDs can be done in multiples of 4, and the desired SYSTEM processes are usually in the lower range. Care should be taken to ensure the loop does not go on infinitely if a desired process cannot be found.

Copy User-mode Shellcode to Target Process

In order to call nt!ZwAllocateVirtualMemory, the shellcode must first call nt!KeStackAttachProcess to attach to the process virtual address space. This needs to later be followed up with nt!KeUnstackDetachProcess during the final cleanup phase or strange errors and crashes can occur.

Memory should be allocated with PAGE_EXECUTE_READWRITE permissions. A simple rep movs instruction can be used to perform the memory copy.
Find an Alertable Thread
A thread needs to be “alertable” in order to queue an APC. Again, walk the PEPROCESS->ThreadListHead, searching for threads which satisfy the following conditions:

- Thread Environment Block (TEB) is not NULL
- TEB.ActivationContextStackPointer is not NULL (will cause crash after APC execution)
- The 5th bit of the ETHREAD.Alertable offset should be set. This is simply a bool packed into a bit

Create and Queue APC
An executable, non-paged pool should be allocated using nt!ExAllocatePool to hold the APC structure. The APC structure needs a dummy kernel-mode APC function, which can be set to simply ret. The call to nt!KeInitializeApc should be formed as such:

```
KeInitializeApc(rcx = ApcPoolAddr,
               rdx = pChosenThread,
               r8 = NULL = OriginalApcEnvironment,
               r9 = KernelApcRoutine,
               NULL /* RundownRoutine */,
               &UserModeShellCode,
               1 /* UserMode */,
               NULL /* Context */);
```

The APC can then be passed to nt!KeInsertQueueApc with NULL arguments. The user-mode payload will now be scheduled for execution.

Perform Cleanup
Perform a call to nt!KeUnstackDetachProcess to leave the target process virtual memory space. A call to nt!ObDereferenceObject on the target EPROCESS is also needed. If the process crashes and there are still references, it will not cleanly exit and linger in memory.

User Mode Tasks
Since the APC thread in user-mode is being temporarily borrowed from an Alertable thread, the starting stage of the user-mode payload should make a call to kernel32!CreateThread. Well known user mode techniques can now be utilized, such as acquiring the Process Environment Block (PEB) from the GS register and searching the Loader Data structure (PEB_LDR_DATA) for Kernel32.dll. The start location of the new thread should be the actual desired user-mode payload. In the case of Metasploit, this is generally Meterpreter stager.

Summary of Improvements
Much like the payload used by the alleged Equation Group exploit EXTRABACON, the EternalBlue payload had room for some improvements. It can only be fairly compared against the DOUBLEPULSAR DLL injection payload, which performs a similar task.

The EternalBlue exploit in this setup only allows a payload of 4096 bytes. There may be numerous tricks available to stage larger kernel payloads, but this amount of space is more than sufficient for using a two-stage Meterpreter payload. The kernel payload for the Metasploit module is around 1000 bytes, plus the size of the user-mode payload. The DOUBLEPULSAR payload is around 5000 bytes, plus the size of the user-mode payload.

The reduction in shellcode size, to about 20% of the original size, was possible with the following optimizations:

- Use of x86 registers over x64 where possible (avoid REX prefix bytes)
- Removal of NOPs in shadow stack (such as add rsp, 20 directly followed by sub rsp, 20)
- Direct hash API calls, instead of stored API pointers
- Removal of safety checks deemed unnecessary
- Handwritten assembly over what appeared to be compiler output
Conclusion

EternalBlue has many moving parts and can be a confusing exploit to follow. There were scarce, but excellent prior works that have described the integer overflow to buffer overflow process.\textsuperscript{21, 22, 24} We have built upon this information by describing the methodology for the instruction pointer hijack and staging of the final payload, which are important points of study to thoroughly understand this complicated exploit.

The RiskSense Cyber Security Research team slowly dissected the original exploit, discovering parts of the data that were deemed unnecessary for exploitation. By removing superfluous fragments in network packets, our research makes it possible to detect all potential future variants of the exploit before a stripped-down version is used in the wild. We also substantiated the premise that the original exploit’s DOUBLEPULSAR payload is a red herring for defenders to focus on, as stealthier payload mechanisms can be crafted.

This research confirms that porting the original exploit to more versions of Microsoft Windows, while difficult, is not an impossible feat. Port to virtually all vulnerable Microsoft Windows versions that use the NT kernel is possible, apart from the key defenses recently made available in the bleeding-edge versions of Microsoft Windows 10. Redstone 1 (August 2016) and Redstone 2 (April 2017) introduce mitigations such as the Page Table Entry and HAL Heap randomizations, which will help protect users against future exploits of this class.

The EternalBlue exploit is highly dangerous in that it can provide instant, remote, and unauthenticated access to almost any unpatched Microsoft Windows system, which is one of the most widely used operating systems in existence for both the home and business world.

The vulnerabilities fixed in the MS17-010 patch, like the unavering MS08-067 vulnerability before it, will continue to be exploited by black-hat criminal organizations, white-hat security researchers and penetration testers, and many nation-states for presumably a decade to come.

Only by analyzing the tools that are available to malicious actors can the wider information security community build proper protections and security measures.

RiskSense is dedicated to helping build a more secure digital world, and it is our sincere hope that this work will serve anti-virus vendors, intrusion detection system rule authors, and other types of defenders to help understand the exploitation process so that future attacks can be prevented.
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